

United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usnip.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/961,363	09/25/2001	Takenori Idehara	011350-287	5946		
7590 08/19/2005			EXAMINER			
Platon N. Mandros			REFAI, RAMSEY			
BURNS, DOAN	VE, SWECKER & MATH	HS, L.L.P.				
P.O. Box 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			2152			
				DATE MAILED: 08/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/961,363	IDEHARA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Ramsey Refai	2152

	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ramsey Refai	2152					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comparison of the periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(η.		•				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three montherarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
	mliamas with 27 CED 44 27 must be	a filad within two man	the of the data				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>		•	•				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection			because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further of	•	TE below);	٠				
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or 		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			(TTO)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <i>NONE</i> .							
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>46-71</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>72-77</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, to because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	out before or on the date of filing a l nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will in the control of the control	not be entered is necessary				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appears and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ils to provide a (1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SE/0) or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
PRIMAR KETVANAIUS							
t							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant is arguing that the Examiner has rejected each device by referring to the same portions of the Liu patent although the system includes three distinct devices with separate functionalities and requests further explanation of the Examiner's interpretation of the reference

In reply, the Examiner states that although there are three seprate devices in the system, these devices are used in the same system and communicate with each other in a similar manner. For example, in claim 46, in which the applicant is claiming the data transmission device, the claim states that the data transmission device comprises a transmission unit for transmitting a signal to a portable terminal to obtain device information from the data receiving device in order to establish a communication with the data receiving device. In claim 51, in which the applicant is claiming a data receiving device, the claims states that the data receiving device comprises a transmission unit for transmitting device information to said portable terminal according to a request from said portable terminal where in the device information is used to establish a connection with the data transmission device. In claim 58, the applicant is claiming the portable terminal, the claims states that the portable terminal comprises a transmission unit for transmitting to a data receiving device a signal to request device information from a data transmission device for establishing a connection between said data transmission device and said data receiving device. In each of the preceeding claims, the same system is being claimed, therefore the functionality of each device in the system is the same. The Examiner has used the same citations in the Liu patent to reject all three of the devices due to this reason. The Liu patent teaches this claimed system. Examiner points to column 2, lines 57-59 and column 1, lines 34-47 as an example. Here, Liu teaches that a remote discovery node (portable terminal) is capable of discovering devices (data receiving devices) on demand from another device (data transmission device) in order to obtain conneciton information such as IP address/MAC address (device information) to enable communication between the devices. Rejection is maintained.